

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/551,235	07/11/2006	Norman J. Bennett	21046-00053-US1	4118		
30678 CONNOLLY I	7590 09/23/200 BOVE LODGE & HUT	EXAM	EXAMINER			
1875 EYE STREET, N.W. SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			STONE, CHE	STONE, CHRISTOPHER R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1614			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			09/23/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) Diffice Action Summary Examiner CHRISTOPHER R. STONE The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

		CHRISTOPHER R. STONE	1614				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL\ THEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DI THEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DI THEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DI THEVER IS LONGER IS THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 September 2005.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
.—	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	,				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	•	ed in this National	Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information-Diackawa-Statement(s) (PTO/SEX-Paper Nots)Mail Date Paper Nots)Mail Date	v (PTO-948)	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)Mail Date. e of Informal Patroti Application.
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Note: claims 1-10, 13, 16-19 and 20 are improper claims drawn to the use of allicin. Amendment is required to correct their form. These claims are being interpreted as compositions, methods of treatments and methods of preparation.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-21, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition.

Group II, claim(s) 1-10, 13, 16-19 and 20, drawn to a method of preparing a pharmaceutical composition.

Group II, claim(s) 1-10, 13, 16-19 and 20, drawn to methods of treatment.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: compositions of Group I are known (see Ankri et al., abstract, provided by Applicant). Therefore a holding of lack of unity of invention against Groups I-III is proper.

Rejoinder Notice

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are

Art Unit: 1614

subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Species Election

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Specifically, if Applicant is required to elect a single use of allicin from the list in claim 1. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Currently all claims are generic. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the species are different methods for the use of allicin having different effects.

Inventorship Notice

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/551,235 Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER R. STONE whose telephone number is

(571)270-3494. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am-

4:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

17September2008

CRS

/Ardin Marschel/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614

Page 6

Art Unit: 1614